

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/615,988	07/10/2003	Tae Young Park	2729-160	1230	
22429 7	7590 05/17/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN AND BERNER, LLP			LEO, LEO	LEO, LEONARD R	
1700 DIAGON SUITE 300 /31			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	A, VA 22314	3753			
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/200:	S	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/615,988	PARK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Leonard R. Leo	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the following(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 4 and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities: the grammar in line 3 is incorrect. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the first and second tank *groups*" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claims 4-8, similar recitations as above are present in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sonoda.

Claims 1-2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Watanabe et al (Figures 1, 10, 12 and 14-15).

Application/Control Number: 10/615,988

Art Unit: 3753

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sonoda in view of Sasaki et al.

Sonoda discloses all the claimed limitations except each unit having a pair of tubes.

Sasaki et al (Figures 47-48) discloses a heat exchanger comprising a first core *A* having tubes 1 disposed between a first tank 3 and third tank 4, and an adjacent second core *B* having tubes 21 disposed between a second tank 23 and fourth tank 24 for the purpose of increasing the heat exchange capacity.

Since Sonoda and Sasaki et al are both from the same field of endeavor and/or analogous art, the purpose disclosed by Sasaki et al would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Sonoda.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Sonoda a pair of adjacent tubes for the purpose of increasing the heat exchange capacity as recognized by Sasaki et al.

Regarding claim 3, Sasaki et al (Figure 47) discloses inlet pipe 200 connected to first tank 3 and outlet pipe 190 connected to second tank 23.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,988 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

Regarding claims 4-6, Sasaki et al (Figure 47) discloses connection unit 60 integral with the first tank 3 and second tank 23. Additionally, Sasaki et al (Figure 50) discloses connection unit 220 integral to the first tank 3 and second tank 23.

Regarding claims 7-9, Sasaki et al (Figure 50) discloses connection unit 230 integral to the third tank 4 and fourth tank 24.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,988 Page 5

Art Unit: 3753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on (571) 272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

LEONARD R. LEO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3753

May 13, 2005